

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WALKE HERITA GOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,884	12/28/2000	Kent K, Leung	CISCP192/3281	9421
22434 7	590 12/22/2003		EXAM	INER
	VER & THOMAS I	MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
P.O. BOX 778	CA 94704-0778		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DERRELEI,	CA 94704-0778		2686	0
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	3 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/752,884**

Applicant(s)

Leung et al.

Examiner

Naghmeh Mehrpour

Art Unit 2686



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application No				
application from the International					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome					
a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	estic priority under 35 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4-6	6) Other:				

Page 1

Art Unit: 2686

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 10/06/99 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-12, 14-16, 18-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rai (US Patent Number 6.393,482).

Regarding claim 1, Rai teaches in a Foreign Agent, a method of registering a mobile device with a Home Agent in an asymmetric link environment (see figure 16 and 29 col 40 lines 19-23) an (..., asymmetric link is a communication path which is duplex, or a link payload rate of 6.144 Mbps +608, or multipoint system connected to one device), the method comprising:

associating each of one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent with a different care-of address (col 41 lines 38-50);

sending an agent advertisement including the care-of address for the one or more

interfaces of the Foreign Agent via one or more uplinks (col 20 lines 16-24, col 41 lines 38-50);

receiving a registration request forwarded via a downlink router (MSC 40 router, is a mobile router, see figure 2, and MSC router col 6 lines 16-33, col 20 lines 31-35); request identifying a care-of address associated with one (or more) interface of the Foreign Agent (col 20 lines 36-40);

ascertaining one of the interfaces identified by the care-of address (col 30 lines 41-44, col 41 lines 38-50), thereby

identifying the interface to which the mobile device has roamed (col 20 lines 53-64);

forwarding the registration request to the Home Agent (col 20 lines 50-52);

receiving a registration reply from the Home Agent (col 20 lines 58-60); and forwarding the registration reply to the mobile device via the ascertained interface (col 20 lines 60-64).

Regarding claims 2, 15, Rai teaches a method wherein the mobile device is a mobile router 54 (see figure 2 router 54 a mobile device which is part of the MSC 40, col 6 lines 10-21).

Regarding claims 3, 16, Rai teaches a method wherein the mobile device is a mobile node 32 (see figure 2 col 5 lines 60-65 or see figure 16 mobile end user).

Regarding claims 4, 18, Rai teaches a method wherein the downlink router is a Foreign Agent (col 20 lines 31-35).

Regarding claims 5, 19, Rai teaches a method wherein the asymmetric link environment includes

one or more satellites (col 5 lines 22-35).

Regarding claims 6, 20, Rai teaches a method wherein the registration request further includes an extension including a source MAC address of the mobile device (col 10 lines 40-52).

Regarding claim 7, Rai teaches a method wherein the registration reply includes a destination MAC address that is the source MAC address of the mobile device (col 10 lines 56-67, col 11 lines 1-7, see figures 4-5), Access Point and wireless Hub are co-located and functions as base station 64.

Regarding claims 8, 21, Rai teaches a method wherein the registration request includes a destination IP address field having a value of the care-of address from the agent advertisement (col 20 lines 34-52, col 41 lines 38-43).

Regarding claim 9, Rai teaches a method, further comprising:

entering the registration request in a pending registration request list (col 36 lines 4-12); and

updating the pending registration request list when the registration reply is received from the Home Agent (col 36 lines 24-28).

Regarding claim 10, Rai teaches a method further comprising: marking (start session) the registration request as having been received on the interface (IWF) advertising the care-of address (col 29 lines 41-47).

Regarding claim 11, Rai teaches a method wherein marking the registration request as having been received on the interface (IWF) advertising the care-of address (col 41 lines 38-50). comprises: updating a pending registration request list to indicate that the registration request has been received on the interface advertising the care-of address (col 18 lines 42-46, col 36 lines 9-23, col 43 lines 5-40).

Regarding claims 12, 25, Rai teaches a method wherein the registration reply includes a destination MAC address that is a broadcast address (col 40 lines 32-35).

Regarding claim 14, Rai teaches in a downlink router, a method of forwarding a Mobile IP registration request in an asymmetric link environment (see figure 16 and 29 col 40 lines 19-23) an asymmetric link is a communication path which is duplex, or a link payload rate of 6.144 Mbps +608, or multipoint system connected to one device)), the method comprising:

receiving a registration request composed and sent by a mobile device, the registration request identifying a care-of address (col 18 lines 42-46) associated with one of one or more interfaces of a Foreign Agent (col 20 lines 50-52, col 41 lines 38-50);

forwarding the registration request to the Foreign Agent, thereby enabling the Foreign Agent to process the registration request and forward a registration reply to the mobile device via the interface (col 20 lines 53-64).

Regarding claim 20, Rai teaches a method wherein the registration request further includes an extension including a source MAC address of the mobile device (col 40 lines 26-36, see table 4,

Regarding claims 22, 24, Rai teaches a Foreign Agent that supports Mobile IP, the Foreign Agent being capable of registering a mobile device with a Home Agent in an asymmetric link environment (see figure 16 and 29 col 40 lines 19-23) an asymmetric link is a communication path which is duplex, or a link payload rate of 6.144 Mbps +608, or multipoint system connected to one device), the Foreign Agent comprising:

a processor (see figure 4 col 11 lines 15-19); and

a memory, at least one of the processor and the memory being adapted for: associating each of one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent with a different care-of address (see figure 4, col 20 lines 1-4). Wireless HUB and access point 82 are co-located and formed the base station. The HDD stores information about the end's system home network, there the foreign agent must comprises a memory for storing the information

sending an agent advertisement including the care-of address for the one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent via one or more uplinks (col 20 lines 16-24);

receiving a registration request forwarded via a downlink router, the registration request identifying a care-of address associated with one of the one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent (col 20 lines 16-35);

ascertaining one of the interfaces identified by the care-of address, thereby identifying the interface to which the mobile device has roamed (col 20 lines 53-64);

forwarding the registration request to the Home Agent (col 20 lines 50-52);

receiving a registration reply from the Home Agent (col 20 lines 58-60); and forwarding the registration reply to the mobile device via the ascertained interface (col 9 lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 23, Rai teaches a computer-readable medium, the computer-readable medium being adapted for enabling a Foreign Agent that supports Mobile IP to register a mobile device with a Home Agent in an asymmetric link environment (see figure 16 and 29 col 40 lines 19-23) an asymmetric link is a communication path which is duplex, or a link payload rate of 6.144 Mbps +608, or multipoint system connected to one device col 7 lines 6-24), comprising:

instructions for associating each of one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent with a different care-of address (col 41 lines 38-50);

instructions for sending an agent advertisement including the care-of address for the one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent via one or more uplinks (col 20 links 35-40);

instructions for receiving a registration request forwarded via a downlink router, the registration request identifying a care-of address associated with one of the one or more interfaces of the Foreign Agent (col 20 lines 50-52);

instructions for ascertaining one of the interfaces identified by the care-of address, thereby identifying the interface to which the mobile device has roamed (col 20 lines 53-64);

instructions for forwarding the registration request to the Home Agent; instructions for receiving a registration reply from the Home Agent (col 20 lines 58-60); and instructions for forwarding the registration reply to the mobile device via the ascertained interface (col 20 lines 60-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claim 13, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rai et al. (US Patent Number 6.393,482 Be) in view of Lee et al. (US Patent Number 2002/0075878 A).

 Regarding claim 13, Rai teaches a method wherein the registration reply includes a destination MAC address that is a unicast address (see table 4, first row col 25 lines 65-67, col 40 lines 27-44). Rai does not specifically mention that a destination MAC address is a multicast address. However Lee teaches a destination MAC address is a multicast address (page 4 section 0043). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the above teaching of Lee with Rai, in order to support any host any clients requesting registration by creating a multicast routing table.
- 5. Claim 17, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rai et al. (US Patent Number 6.393,482 Be) in view of Albert et al. (US Patent Number 6,606,316).

 Regarding claim 17, Rai fails to teach a method wherein the registration request includes a time to live field having a value that is greater than one. However Albert teaches a method wherein the registration request includes a time to live field having a value that is greater than one (col 16 lines 35-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the above teaching of Albert with Rai, in order for the service manager to control forwarding agent, and includes the destination IP address in the routing protocol updates.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wynn (US Patent 6,629,137 Be) disclose network interface devices methods system and computer program products for computer networks using different address domains though address translation

Montenegro (US Patent Number 6,571,289 Be) disclose chained registrations for mobile IP

7. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications indented for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6306, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington. Va., sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melody Mehrpour whose telephone number is (703) 308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (first week of bi-week) and Monday through Friday (second week of bi-week) from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempt to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Marsha

Banks-Harold be reached (703)305-4379

Dec 10, 2003

Marcha O Bank Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600